

REMARKS

Applicants earnestly, but respectfully, request reconsideration of the requirement for restriction. Applicants also request rejoinder upon indication of allowable elected subject matter, the elected subject matter being subject to traverse.

The requirement for restriction presented Group I, claims 1-3 and 9, drawn to an anti-glare film, and Group II, claims 4-8, drawn to a method of molding and an anti-glare film.


Applicants elect the Group I, claims 1-3 and 9, drawn to an anti-glare film, in response to the requirement for restriction. The election is with traverse. Applicants respectfully submit that this case does not present any serious burden for examination. Applicants respectfully draw attention to the MPEP §803, page 800-4 (August 2001) which provides that “if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.”

Applicants respectfully submit there is a reduced burden for conducting the examination in this application. An Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) has been submitted on September 29, 2004.

Favorable reconsideration of the requirement for restriction is earnestly, but respectfully requested, and in the alternative, rejoinder upon indication of allowable elected subject matter is requested. Applicants await an early and favorable action on the merits.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned if there are any questions with respect to the present application.

Date: May 25, 2005


Kendrew H. Colton
Registration No. 30,368

OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO
Customer No. 42798

FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY
1801 K Street, NW, Suite 401L
Washington, DC 20006-1201
Tel: (202) 419-7000, Fax: (202) 419-7007